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## Methodology

Results in this report are based upon questions asked in the UMR Research nation-wide omnibus survey. This is a telephone survey of a nationally representative sample of 750 New Zealanders 18 years of age and over.

Fieldwork was conducted from the $2^{\text {nd }}$ to $6^{\text {th }}$ July 2009 at UMR Research's national interview facility in Auckland.

The margin of error for sample size of 750 for a $50 \%$ figure at the ' $95 \%$ confidence level' is $\pm 3.6 \%$.

## MMP vs FPP

Support for the MMP and FPP voting systems continued to be relatively even.
$41 \%$ said they prefer the MMP voting system, while $40 \%$ said they prefer FPP. $19 \%$ were unsure or had no preference. These figures were relatively unchanged from 2007, when 42\% preferred MMP and $39 \%$ preferred FPP.

Support for each voting system varied across several demographics:

- Wellingtonians heavily favoured MMP over FPP 58\% to 32\%.
- Those in provincial or rural areas were slightly more in favour of FPP.
- Support for MMP decreased with age. Those aged under 30 favoured MMP over FPP $43 \%$ to $18 \%$. Those aged 60 plus were much less supportive, $36 \%$ to $56 \%$.



## Impact of MMP

Opinions on the impact of MMP on the quality of Government were fairly split.

A third (32\%) said the MMP voting system has had a positive impact ( $7-10$ on a $0-10$ scale where 10 means 'very positive') while $37 \%$ had a neutral opinion ( $4-6$ on the scale) and $24 \%$ said it has had a negative impact ( $0-3$ on the scale).

These figures were similar to those in 2007, however slightly more people now believe MMP has had a negative impact.

As you may be aware, New Zealand has had MMP Governments since 1996. Using a 0 to 10 scale where 0 means very negative and 10 very positive, what impact do you think the MMP voting system has had on the quality of Government in New Zealand over this period.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { AUG } 07 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JUL } 09 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 - Very positive | 3 | 4 |
| 9 | 2 | 1 |
| 8 | 10 | 13 |
| 7 | 17 | 14 |
| TOTAL POSITIVE (7-10) | 32 | 32 |
| 6 | 14 | 12 |
| 5 | 19 | 15 |
| 4 | 10 | 10 |
| TOTAL NEUTRAL (4-6) | 43 | 37 |
| 3 | 6 | 10 |
| 2 | 5 | 5 |
| 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 0 - Very negative | 7 | 6 |
| TOTAL NEGATIVE (0-3) | 19 | 24 |
| Unsure | 6 | 7 |

## MMP Referendum

Most respondents continue to support a referendum on whether we keep or change the MMP voting system.
$64 \%$ declared they support a referendum while $26 \%$ oppose it. These figures were similar to those recorded in 2007 ( $68 \%$ support, $24 \%$ oppose).

Males were slightly more supportive than females (66\% and 61\% respectively). Wellingtonians were the least supportive (54\%) compared to other areas.

Regardless of your views on MMP, would you support or oppose a referendum on whether we keep or change the MMP voting system?
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## Reducing Number Of MP's

A solid majority of respondents favoured reducing the number of MP's in the house from around 120 to $100.74 \%$ declared support for this notion, while just $18 \%$ were opposed. $8 \%$ were unsure.

Support increased with age, from 71\% amongst those under 30 to $80 \%$ amongst those aged 60 plus. Support was also higher amongst blue collar workers (85\%) and retirees (82\%) compared to white collar workers (70\%).

Of those who supported reducing the number of MP's, $69 \%$ declared they would continue to support it even if it meant the representation of parties would no longer be proportional. $23 \%$ said they would change their mind while $8 \%$ were unsure. The equivalent numbers as a percentage of the total sample ( $n=750$ ) were $51 \%$ support, $17 \%$ oppose and $6 \%$ unsure.

Do you support or oppose reducing the number of MP's from around 120 to 100?


Support
Oppose
Depends/ Unsure

Would you support reducing the number of MP's by 20 if it meant that the representation of parties in the House would no longer be fully proportional?


Base: $74 \%$ of respondents, those who support reducing number of MP's to $100, \mathrm{n}=555$
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## Supplementary Tables

## PREFER MMP SYSTEM OR FPP SYSTEM

Now we have had experience of the MMP system and the first past the post system which do you prefer?


## Supplementary Tables cont'd

## IMPACT OF MMP ON QUALITY OF GOVERNMENT IN NEW ZEALAND

As you may be aware, New Zealand has had MMP Governments since 1996 . Using a 0 to 10 scale where 0 means very negative and 10 very positive, what impact do you think the $\mathbb{M}$ P voting system has had on the quality of Government in New Zealand over this period.

|  | 0 Very <br> Base negative |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  | ry ve | ure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL | 750 |  | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 10\% | 10\% | 15\% | 12\% | 14\% | 13\% | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auckland | 241 |  | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 10\% | 12\% | 10\% | 15\% | 17\% | 14\% | 1\% | 3\% | 6\% |
| Provincial | 350 |  | 7\% | 3\% | 5\% | 12\% | 10\% | 17\% | 9\% | 13\% | 11\% | 1\% | 4\% | 8\% |
| Christchurch | 82 |  | 8\% | 1\% | 4\% | 5\% | 13\% | 17\% | 13\% | 7\% | 14\% | 2\% | 8\% | 8\% |
| Wellington | 77 |  | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 7\% | 4\% | 17\% | 10\% | 22\% | 17\% | 3\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| Rural | 149 |  | 10\% | 3\% | 5\% | 13\% | 7\% | 15\% | 10\% | 12\% | 11\% | 1\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 359 |  | 9\% | 3\% | 5\% | 12\% | 11\% | 13\% | 9\% | 15\% | 11\% | 2\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Female | 391 |  | 4\% | 2\% | 5\% | 9\% | 9\% | 16\% | 14\% | 14\% | 14\% | 1\% | 4\% | 8\% |
| AGE GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 157 |  | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% | 15\% | 17\% | 20\% | 16\% | 3\% | 3\% | 13\% |
| 30-44 | 225 |  | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 12\% | 9\% | 13\% | 12\% | 14\% | 14\% | 1\% | 4\% | 9\% |
| 45-59 | 196 |  | 7\% | 2\% | 6\% | 10\% | 16\% | 17\% | 9\% | 13\% | 10\% | 0\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| 60 Plus | 172 |  | 12\% | 5\% | 4\% | 14\% | 9\% | 16\% | 9\% | 10\% | 12\% | 1\% | 6\% | 2\% |
| OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professionals, Managers | 163 |  | 4\% | 2\% | 6\% | 11\% | 9\% | 13\% | 17\% | 17\% | 15\% | 1\% | 2\% | $3 \%$ |
| Technicians, Associates | 80 |  | $2 \%$ | 5\% | 7\% | 9\% | 7\% | 13\% | 9\% | 24\% | 15\% | 2\% | 4\% | $3 \%$ |
| Clerks \& Sales | 114 |  | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 10\% | 15\% | 17\% | 14\% | 9\% | 13\% | 1\% | 5\% | 8\% |
| Blue collar | 128 |  | 11\% | 0\% | 5\% | 15\% | 12\% | 13\% | 7\% | 10\% | 11\% | 1\% | 6\% | 9\% |
| Students | 40 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 12\% | 2\% | 22\% | 23\% | 5\% | 5\% | 23\% |
| Retired | 104 |  | 15\% | 3\% | 5\% | 14\% | 10\% | 18\% | 13\% | 8\% | 8\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| Homemaker | 54 |  | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 7\% | 19\% | 15\% | 16\% | 14\% | 0\% | 2\% | 15\% |
| Not employed | 44 |  | 6\% | 4\% | 1\% | 2\% | 9\% | 20\% | 4\% | 21\% | 11\% | 0\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| Self employed | 20 |  | 14\% | 0\% | 9\% | 16\% | 10\% | 4\% | 11\% | 9\% | 13\% | 0\% | 3\% | 11\% |
| PERSONAL INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$15,000 | 119 |  | 7\% | 2\% | 3\% | 5\% | 9\% | 16\% | 13\% | 15\% | 13\% | 2\% | 5\% | 10\% |
| \$15,001-25,000 | 101 |  | 6\% | 3\% | 4\% | 12\% | 13\% | 14\% | 13\% | 10\% | 12\% | 2\% | 5\% | 6\% |
| \$25,001-30,000 | 67 |  | 4\% | 0\% | 5\% | 14\% | 7\% | 13\% | 16\% | 15\% | 16\% | 1\% | 0\% | 9\% |
| \$30,001-40,000 | 97 |  | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 9\% | 10\% | 14\% | 8\% | 20\% | 12\% | 1\% | 6\% | 8\% |
| \$40,001-50,000 | 82 |  | 8\% | 0\% | 7\% | 12\% | 8\% | 12\% | 17\% | 11\% | 15\% | 1\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| \$50,001-70,000 | 97 |  | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 8\% | 14\% | 20\% | 7\% | 14\% | 18\% | 1\% | 2\% | 7\% |
| More than \$70,000 | 104 |  | 9\% | 3\% | 5\% | 17\% | 10\% | 10\% | 14\% | 15\% | 11\% | 0\% | 4\% | $2 \%$ |
| ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific Island | 35 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 20\% | 11\% | 20\% | 21\% | 0\% | 10\% | 9\% |
| Maori | 82 |  | 8\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 6\% | 16\% | 16\% | 16\% | 13\% | 0\% | 9\% | 8\% |
| Non Maori/Non PI | 634 |  | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 11\% | 11\% | 15\% | 11\% | 14\% | 12\% | 1\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| PREFER MMP OR FPP SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMP | 306 |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% | 12\% | 17\% | 27\% | 27\% | 3\% | 8\% | 1\% |
| FPP | 304 |  | 14\% | 6\% | 10\% | 20\% | 20\% | 17\% | 7\% | $3 \%$ | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| REFERENDUM TO KEEP | HANGE | VOTING | SYS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support | 478 |  | 8\% | 3\% | 6\% | 13\% | 13\% | 15\% | 11\% | 12\% | 10\% | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| Oppose | 196 |  | $2 \%$ | 1\% | $2 \%$ | 5\% | 5\% | 15\% | 12\% | 21\% | 23\% | 3\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| REDUCING NUMBER OF | FROM | 120 TO | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support | 555 |  | 7\% | 3\% | 5\% | 13\% | 12\% | 17\% | 11\% | 13\% | 10\% | 1\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| Oppose | 137 |  | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 12\% | 15\% | 19\% | 24\% | 3\% | 8\% | 9\% |

## Supplementary Tables cont'd

SUPPORT OR OPPOSE REFERENDUM ON KEEPING OR CHANGING MMP

Regardless of your views on MMP, would you support or oppose a referendum on whether we keep or change the MMP voting system?


## Supplementary Tables cont'd

## SUPPORT OR OPPOSE REDUCING NUMBER OF MP'S TO AROUND ONE HUNDRED

Do you support or oppose reducing the number of MP's from around one
hundred and twenty to one hundred?

|  | Base | Support | Oppose | Depends | Refused | Unsure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL | 750 | $74 \%$ | 18\% | 1\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auckland | 241 | 75\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| Provincial | 350 | 77\% | 16\% | 1\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Christchurch | 82 | 71\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| Wellington | 77 | 62\% | 26\% | 1\% | 0\% | 11\% |
| Rural | 149 | 82\% | 12\% | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 359 | 75\% | 20\% | 1\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Female | 391 | 73\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% |
| AGE GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 157 | 71\% | 18\% | 0\% | 1\% | 10\% |
| 30-44 | 225 | 69\% | 21\% | 1\% | 0\% | 9\% |
| 45-59 | 196 | 76\% | 18\% | 2\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| 60 Plus | 172 | 80\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| OCCUPATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professionals, Managers | 163 | 70\% | 21\% | 2\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| Technicians, Associates | 80 | 69\% | 20\% | 1\% | 0\% | 10\% |
| Clerks \& Sales | 114 | 69\% | 23\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% |
| Blue collar | 128 | 85\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | $3 \%$ |
| Students | 40 | 56\% | 24\% | 0\% | 4\% | 16\% |
| Retired | 104 | 82\% | 12\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Homemaker | 54 | 81\% | 11\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% |
| Not employed | 44 | 68\% | $26 \%$ | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Self employed | 20 | 77\% | 18\% | 0\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| PERSONAL INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$15,000 | 119 | 75\% | 18\% | 0\% | 1\% | 6\% |
| \$15,001-25,000 | 101 | 74\% | 22\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| \$25,001-30,000 | 67 | 72\% | 19\% | 1\% | 0\% | 8\% |
| \$30,001-40,000 | 97 | 75\% | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| \$40,001-50,000 | 82 | 76\% | 11\% | $2 \%$ | 0\% | 11\% |
| \$50,001-70,000 | 97 | 77\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| More than \$70,000 | 104 | 71\% | 22\% | 1\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| ETHNICITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific Island | 35 | $74 \%$ | 19\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| Maori | 82 | 71\% | 24\% | 1\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Non Maori/Non PI | 634 | 75\% | 17\% | 1\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| PREFER MMP OR FPP SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MMP | 306 | 64\% | 29\% | 1\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| FPP | 304 | 88\% | 8\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| REFERENDUM TO KEEP | TING |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support | 478 | 80\% | 14\% | 1\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| Oppose | 196 | 63\% | 28\% | 1\% | 0\% | 8\% |
| REDUCING NUMBER OF MP'S FROM 120 TO 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support | 555 | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Oppose | 137 | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |

## Supplementary Tables cont'd

## STILL SUPPORT REDUCING NUMBER OF MP'S IF REPRESENTATION NOT FULLY PROPORTIONAL

Would you support reducing the number of MP's by twenty if it meant that
the representation of parties in the House would no longer be fully proportional?


